



LRO-SR117: THESIS/RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION FORM (FINAL)

Thesis/Research Title: _____

Proponent(s)/Author(s): _____

CRITERIA	Points	Score
INTRODUCTION	30 points	
The introduction identified trends in the focused field of study	3	
The research gaps were identified, creating a stable foundation for research objectives.	5	
The objectives are significantly enough for the advancement of the field of discipline.	5	
The objectives indicated the limits of the problem. The study is also a pioneering effort.	3	
The research objectives contribute to the attainment of the central question.	2	
Review of related literature shows how the research problem evolved from previous studies.	5	
The output is comprehensive, selective and provides various articles from different resources.	4	
The hypotheses/assumptions were properly stated, entail further investigation and based on the literature review presented.	3	
METHODOLOGY	25 points	
Research protocols were clearly identified and explained how it will contribute to the achievement of the objectives. Novel or modified standard procedures were clearly stated for reproducibility.	5	
Ethical considerations and privacy issues were properly identified and explained.	4	
The theory is contextualized on how it guides the analysis of data.	4	
The experimental design captures all the variables presented in the main problem.	5	
The data necessary for the study were all identified and explained how it will contribute to the achievement of the objectives.	5	
All measurements were correctly mentioned with appropriate units.	2	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	35 points	
The results accurately answer and are congruent with the problem.	3	
The results are presented in a clear, accurate and concise manner and are logically presented.	3	
The results presented in the text must be summarized and highlighted using tables and figures whenever necessary.	6	
Analyses are based on data gathered from research.	3	
The output reveals the importance of the research paper in general. The study is also a contribution to the existing body of knowledge.	7	
Data analyzed is well explained by citing principles in recent scientific findings that support or oppose the present result.	7	
The discussion presents the study's scope and limitations, advantages, applications and relevant recommendations for future investigation.	6	
CONCLUSION	10 points	
Conclusions are drawn from the results of the investigation.	3	
Conclusion and relevant recommendation are drawn from the result of the investigation.	7	
TOTAL	100 points	

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS:

PANEL/EVALUATOR (Signature over printed name)

Date of Evaluation

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted Without Revision (Exceptional) | 95 – 100 points |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted with Minor Revisions (Proficient) | 85 – 94 points |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted with Major Revision/s (Satisfactory) | 75 – 84 points |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected (Limited) 74 points and below | |

LRO-SR117: Thesis/Research Manuscript Evaluation Form (Final)